## WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT

1e:_	4:00pm Weather Conditions: Cloudy 70°			
		Yes	No	Notes
RL	andfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.84	<b>(</b> )		
1,	Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or localized settlement observed on the sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing CCR?		$ \lambda $	
2.	Were conditions observed within the cells containing CCR or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption to ongoing CCR management operations?		X	kinin bajadigi kiliminaku kuda memunan amemundan opainani
3.	Were conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations.		X	
R Fı	igitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)(4	<b>(</b> 1))	,	
4.	Was CCR received during the reporting period? If answer is no, no additional information required.	X		i de la sempresa griding souver restruençium mengini mengenem
5.	Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust suppresants) prior to delivery to landfill?	X	Aminumumai simidhama da kaisa ay a kisa	da 1964 da 1974 da 1974 da amerikan da
6.	If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptable to fugitive dust generation?		X.	
7.	Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on landfill access roads?		X	<del>randon tito minerita majaja marakki</del> tu un unu <del>ng kacamata maja</del>
8.	Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below.		X	uuten kunnen en kanna
9.	Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below.	X		
0.	Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question		*	ay yi taraya da sakaraka ka a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a
1.	Were the citizen complaints logged?		X	